

PUBLISHING AGREEMENT

I. COMMON GROUND RESEARCH NETWORKS WILL PUBLISH THIS WORK

This agreement is between the author(s) and coauthor(s) of the submitted work and Common Ground Research Networks. Common Ground Research Networks will publish this work in print and electronic formats, separately and/or in a collection of other articles/chapters subject to review on submission. Common Ground Research Networks will provide the author with an electronic copy of the work once it is published.

II. COPYRIGHT BELONGS TO THE AUTHOR(S)

Copyright will be attributed to the author(s). Common Ground Research Networks will be the publisher of record. Common Ground Research Networks supports a "hybrid open access" model, in which some works are available in electronic formats to any reader at no charge and others are available only via purchase or subscription. All commercial uses of the article will require a reprint agreement.

OPEN ACCESS

For a fee of \$250 USD, an author can choose the open access option at any time before or after publication. Under the Common Ground Research Networks open access option, works are made freely available in electronic format in Common Ground's online bookstore. Common Ground's open access publications are protected with Some Rights Reserved as Public Licensed Material, available under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International Public License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). The use of this material is permitted for non-commercial use provided the creator(s) and publisher receive attribution. No derivatives of this version are permitted. Official terms of this public license apply as indicated here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode. The open access option does not include publication in any commercial format; commercial use must be preapproved through a reprint agreement with Common Ground Research Networks.

TRADITIONAL

Under the traditional publishing agreement option, the author(s) grant Common Ground Research Networks an exclusive international license to publish the work in all formats. Publication by any entity other than Common Ground Research Networks must be preapproved through a reprint agreement with Common Ground Research Networks. The author(s) may make complimentary electronic or printed copies of the work for classes that they teach. They may also post a copy of the *non-typeset* version of the work to a closed, institution-only repository. In all cases, the work must be accompanied by a full citation. The author(s) and coauthor(s) may not post the final *typeset* version of the work to commercial repositories such as academia.edu or ResearchGate. They may post the title, the abstract, and a link to the Common Ground Research Networks bookstore on these or any other websites.



III. THE AUTHOR(S) WILL REVIEW THREE WORKS OF SIMILAR SIZE

Author(s) and coauthor(s) will be required to review at least three articles each per article submitted within twelve months of this agreement. Assigned articles will be on comparable themes and of similar length. The author(s) and coauthors may reject a request to review a particular work, but they nevertheless agree either to communicate this or to return a completed review or referee report within two weeks of an email request being made by Common Ground Research Networks. Referee assignments completed since the submission of the article associated with this agreement will count toward this requirement.

IV. THERE IS NO UNLAWFUL MATERIAL

The author(s) and coauthor(s) assure the publisher that the material contained in the work is not defamatory, unlawful, obscene, invasive of another person's privacy, hateful, racially or ethnically objectionable, abusive, threatening, harmful, or in contempt of court. The author(s) and coauthor(s) undertake to indemnify Common Ground Research Networks against any claims which may be made in situations where material is considered to be any of these things, or has any of these effects.

V. THERE IS NO INFRINGEMENT OF THE COPYRIGHT OF OTHERS

The author(s) and coauthor(s) assure Common Ground Research Networks that the work is based entirely on original material, that it does not infringe on anyone else's copyright, and that the author(s) and coauthor(s) have the right to license copyright to Common Ground Research Networks. In the case of copyrighted material, such as the use of quotes or images beyond what is legally considered "fair use," the author(s) and coauthor(s) will undertake to arrange, and if necessary to pay for, permissions and will place all documentation supporting their claim that these permissions have been secured in the "Supporting Documents" area for this work in Common Ground's online publishing software. These permissions must also be credited within the work itself. The author(s) and coauthor(s) agree to indemnify Common Ground Research Networks against any claims as a result of breach of the copyright of others.

VI. QUALITY OF THE SUBMITTED TEXT

Common Ground Research Networks will publish the final work only after peer review of a completed manuscript draft. The reviewers may require an author to rewrite or they may recommend that a work is unsuitable for publication. In these circumstances, Common Ground Research Networks may require the work to be rewritten or reject the work for publication. The author is responsible for the quality of the text including compliance with the journal's "Final Submission Requirements," "Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement," and "Informed Consent for Human and Animal Rights." On final submission, Common Ground Research Networks may recommend rewriting or professional copy editing—this will be the author's responsibility. Common Ground Research Networks offers a professional editing service at a charge to the author.



VII. THE AUTHOR(S) AGREE TO PUBLICATION

By submitting their work for review and then resubmitting the final work for publication, the Corresponding Author (the person who has submitted the work in its original or revised form) will accept the terms and conditions of this agreement. In the case of multiple authorship, the Corresponding Author guarantees Common Ground Research Networks that they have provided the other author(s) and coauthor(s) with a copy of the text for their checking and that they have all agreed to the terms of this agreement.

VIII.	EXECUTED AS AN AGREEMENT
	TITLE OF WORK:
	WORK ID:
	SIGNED for and on behalf of the Contributor(s):
	(SIGNATURE OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR)
	(PRINTED NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR)



IX. APPENDIX:

A. FINAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

All final submissions must meet the following requirements which are listed on the Common Ground website and below:

- **Change Note:** If your article has been "Accepted with Revisions," you must complete a change note to accompany your final submission.
- **Editing:** If a reviewer has recommended professional editing or proofreading by a friend or coworker, this must be completed.
- References: The references list and all internal citations must meet the guidelines outlined by the seventeenth edition of the Chicago Manual of Style (CMS17). Please refer to our Chicago Manual of Style Guide for instructions and examples.
- Accuracy of Citations: All in-text citations must be cited in the reference list and all sources in the reference list must be cited within the article. It is the responsibility of the author(s) to ensure the accuracy of citations. Sources accessed online must include a DOI or URL.
- **Format:** All final submissions must be formatted using Common Ground's journal article template. The page layout, margins, fonts, styles, and all other settings of the template must not be altered in any way. Final submissions must be uploaded as a doc or docx file. (No PDF files.)
- Informed Consent: All submissions must comply with the Informed Consent for Human and Animal Rights statement. This applies to the use of data, surveys, interviews, and photographs, especially in the case of students in the classroom. When informed consent has been obtained it must be indicated in the final submission.
- **Images:** All figures, tables, images, etc. must credit a "source" of the image/graphic. If it was created or generated by the author(s), then please list the author(s) last name.
- **Translations:** Please ensure all titles in languages other than English in your reference list include an English translation that follows the title in the original language. Please see our Chicago Manual of Style Guide for formatting guidelines.

B. PUBLICATION ETHICS AND PUBLICATION MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

Common Ground Research Networks takes intellectual integrity very seriously. The publisher, editors, reviewers, and authors all agree upon the following standards of expected ethical behavior, which are based on the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) Codes of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines.

DUTIES OF PUBLISHER

Publication Decisions

Common Ground's editorial team, in consultation with the journal or journal collection's editor(s), is responsible for deciding which submitted articles should be published. The editorial team may confer with the journal editor and will strongly consider peer reviewers' comments and recommendations as part of this decision-making process.

Fair Play

Submitted articles will be evaluated according to their intellectual merit, without regard to the race, gender, sexual orientation, religious beliefs, ethnic origin, citizenship, political philosophy, or institutional affiliation of the author(s).

Confidentiality

Editorial staff will not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, or other editorial advisers.

Disclosures of Conflicts of Interest

Unpublished material must not be used in an editor's own research without the written consent of the author.

DUTIES OF REVIEWERS

Contribution to Editorial Decisions

Recommendations by peer reviewers are the single most important determining factor in whether a manuscript is accepted for publication. They may also help the author improve a manuscript that has been accepted pending revisions.



Promptness

Peer reviewers are asked to complete their reviews within two weeks of receiving a refereeing assignment. If they cannot complete the report within two weeks, they may ask for an extension. If a peer reviewer feels unqualified to review a particular manuscript, he or she may notify a member of Common Ground's editorial team to be excused from the assignment.

Confidentiality

Any manuscript received for review will be treated as confidential. It must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by Common Ground's editorial team.

Standards of Objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgment of Sources and Identification of Possible Plagiarism

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Reports of statements, observations, or arguments that have been noted elsewhere should be accompanied by a relevant citation. A reviewer should also call the editor's attention to any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

If a peer reviewer feels unqualified to review a particular manuscript, he or she must notify a member of Common Ground Research Networks' editorial team to be excused from the assignment. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not be used for personal benefit. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers under review.

DUTIES OF AUTHORS

Reporting Standards

Authors of reports of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed, as well as an objective discussion of its significance.

Data Access and Retention

Authors are asked to provide the raw data in connection with the paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data whenever possible. In any event, authors should be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable amount of time after publication.

Originality and Plagiarism

Authors should ensure that they have written entirely original work, and if they have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited and quoted. Articles found to have plagiarized material will be withdrawn from publication consideration. If plagiarism is found after an article is published, the publisher will contact the author for a response to the allegations. In cases of proven plagiarism or nonresponse/inadequate response, the offending article will be retracted and a statement from the publisher will be inserted in its place in the relevant journal issue.

Multiple, Redundant, or Concurrent Publication

Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and it is unacceptable. Manuscripts must only be peer reviewed by one journal at a time. Common Ground Research Networks will consider publishing articles that have been published elsewhere previously, provided that they have undergone substantial revision or reworking. In such cases, 70 percent of the article must consist of new or significantly revised material.

Acknowledgment of Sources

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

Authorship of the Article

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as coauthors. Where there are others who participated in certain substantial aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors. The corresponding author should ensure that



all appropriate coauthors and no inappropriate coauthors are included on the paper, and that all coauthors have seen and approved the final version of the article and agreed to its submission for publication.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

Authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of the manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

Fundamental Errors in Published Works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his or her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the publisher and cooperate with Common Ground's editorial team to correct or retract the article.

C. INFORMED CONSENT FOR HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

STATEMENT ON HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

For research studies using human or animal subjects, the trial's design, conduct, and reporting of results must conform to Good Clinical Practice guidelines (such as the Good Clinical Practice in Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-Regulated Clinical Trials (USA) or the Medical Research Council Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice in Clinical Trials (UK)) and/or to the World Medical Association (WMA) Declaration of Helsinki.

Any work describing a study that used human subjects must include a statement that affirms the experiments were performed with prior informed consent (written or verbal, as appropriate) from each participant.

Humans: When reporting experiments on human subjects, authors should indicate whether the procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008 (5). If doubt exists whether the research was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration, the author(s) must explain the rationale for their approach and demonstrate that the institutional review body explicitly approved the doubtful aspects of the study.

Animals: When reporting experiments on animals, authors should indicate whether the institutional and national guide for the care and use of laboratory animals was followed. Experimental research on vertebrates or any regulated invertebrates must comply with institutional, national, or international guidelines, and where available should have been approved by an appropriate ethics committee. A statement detailing compliance with guidelines and/or ethical approval must be included in the work. For studies involving client-owned animals, author(s) must document informed client consent and adherence to a high standard (best practice) of veterinary care.

STATEMENT ON INFORMED CONSENT

Consent: For all research involving human subjects, informed consent to participate in the study should be obtained from participants (or their parent or guardian in the case of children under 18 and a statement to this effect should appear in the work.

Consent for publication of individual patient data: For all works that include details, images, or videos relating to individual participants, written informed consent for the publication of these must be obtained from the participants (or their parent or legal guardian in the case of children under 18) and a statement to this effect should appear in the work. A consent form must be made available to the Editor(s) on request and will be treated confidentially. In cases where images are entirely unidentifiable and there are no details on individuals reported within the manuscript, consent for publication of images may not be required. The final decision on whether consent to publish is required lies with the Editor(s).

Patients have a right to privacy that should not be infringed without informed consent. Identifying information, including patients' names, initials, or hospital numbers, should not be published in written descriptions, photographs, or pedigrees unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and the patient (or parent or guardian) gives written informed consent for publication. Informed consent for this purpose requires that a patient who is identifiable be shown the manuscript to be published. Authors should identify individuals who provide writing assistance and disclose the funding source for this assistance. Identifying details should be omitted if they are not essential. Complete anonymity is difficult to achieve, however, an informed consent should be obtained if there is any doubt. For example, masking the eye region in photographs of patients is inadequate protection of anonymity. If identifying characteristics are altered to protect anonymity, such as in genetic pedigrees, author(s) should provide assurance that alterations do not distort scientific meaning and the author(s) are responsible to notify the editor(s) in such instances and editor(s) should so note accordingly. The requirement for informed consent should be included in the journal's instructions for authors. When informed consent has been obtained it should be indicated in the published work.